## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JERRY PINNELL, et al. : CIVIL ACTION

•

v. : NO. 19-5738

:

TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., et al.

## **ORDER**

AND NOW, this 30<sup>th</sup> day of November 2020, following today's noticed hearing on the Plaintiffs' unopposed Motion for preliminary approval of a Class Action Settlement, Preliminary Certification of Settlement Class, Approval of Class Notice, and scheduling of a Fairness Hearing (ECF Doc. No. 68), upon our raising concerns which counsel believes they can address in a subsequent motion regarding distributional fairness¹ under the current Plan of Allocation, concerns with notices of objections, notices sent by electronic mail to known e-mail addresses, and clarifying the process through which notices sent by mail will be resent upon return, it is ORDERED the Plaintiffs' unopposed Motion (ECF Doc. No. 68) is DENIED as stated without prejudice to promptly filing a renewed Motion and accompanying Memorandum with a revised Settlement Agreement and/or Plan of Allocation as warranted to specifically address our concerns.

KEARNEY, J.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See e.g., Date v. Sony Electronics Inc. et al., No. 07-15474, 2009 WL 435289, at \*12 (E.D.Mich. Feb. 20, 2009); Parker v. Time Warner Entertainment Company, L.P., No. 98-4265, 2007 WL 9706336, at \* 19-20, (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 26, 2007)(citing Petruzzi's Inc. v. Darling-Delaware Co., Inc., 880 F.Supp. 292, 299 (M.D.Pa. 1995)).